

THE PRESIDENT: I am not sure I follow you, Delegate Rybczynski. The two Amendments No. 11 and No. 12 are not precisely the same.

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: No, except one has to do with the actual compilation of the transcript and the other merely provides for the publication.

THE PRESIDENT: That is right.

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: So what we hoped was what the Chair had ruled originally that the two were part of the same thing. However, what we suggest to the delegates at this time is that one merely follows the other. You deleted from what is now section 3.19 the provision for a transcript for various reasons. The main reason was the description of just how this is done in the United States Congress. It became quite apparent that this is a very expensive proposition, one which is difficult to maintain if it is to be valuable, and would serve no purpose unless a lot of money were spent on the subject.

So that we would suggest to you that since you do have Delegate Miller's motion for reconsideration of Amendment No. 11 that we go ahead with Amendment No. 12 at this time, vote the same way, and if there is going to be a full debate, let it be on the reconsideration of Amendment No. 11 rather than do the same thing all over again the second time.

THE PRESIDENT: You are suggesting, if I follow you, that your amendment be passed over at the present time and that we act on the motion to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 11 was adopted?

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: That will be all right, Mr. President, and, of course, I would like to address myself to the motion for reconsideration when it is before the body.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well. For what purpose does Delegate Gleason rise?

DELEGATE GLEASON: I have a question of the sponsor of the amendment, please.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well. I am not sure you want to put your question if you understood what he said. He is willing to have his amendment passed over at this time until there is a vote taken on the motion to reconsider Amendment No. 11 on the ground that if Amendment No. 11 is reconsidered and on reconsideration should not be adopted, then his amendment would

become not only unnecessary but improper. Did you understand that?

DELEGATE GLEASON: Yes, I understood that.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Rybczynski, do you yield to a question?

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Gleason.

DELEGATE GLEASON: One of the problems is some of us were not here this past weekend when this surprise amendment was proposed by the delegate and his co-sponsors. In his remarks he indicated something to the effect of how expensive this procedure was. I wonder if he would enlighten us as to just precisely how expensive.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Gleason, I think you are directing your question to the matter of Amendment No. 11 rather than Amendment No. 12. I suggest to you that we proceed in the manner previously indicated, pass over Amendment No. 12, hear the discussion on the reargument of Amendment No. 11 at which time your question would be in order.

Delegate Gleason.

DELEGATE GLEASON: If the Chairman could advise me as to just whom I might address that question to—

THE PRESIDENT: You may address it to Delegate Rybczynski but not at this moment. You may still address it to him. For what purpose does Delegate Koss rise?

DELEGATE KOSS: A parliamentary inquiry. I had in my book Amendment No. 12 and also a roll call on Amendment No. 12, LB-1, 2, and 3. Could that have been moved up to Amendment No. 13 since that time?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, apparently because of the fact that Amendment No. 12 offered by Delegate Rybczynski was not printed, the Chair inadvertantly numbered the next succeeding amendment, which was W, as Amendment No. 12. It should have been Amendment No. 13.

Amendment No. 12 is passed over in order that the motion to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 11 was adopted may be considered. The Chair recognizes Delegate Betty Miller who made the motion to reconsider.

DELEGATE B. MILLER: Mr. Chairman and fellow delegates, on Saturday after-